

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/008,738	11/09/2001	Clark H. Gates II	PGATEC-EB	6062
7:	590 12/31/2002			
Thompson E. Fehr			EXAMINER	
Suite 300 Goldenwest Corporate Center			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
5025 Adams Avenue Ogden, UT 84403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,738	GATES, CLARK H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Merek	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply verified. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, concerned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	vithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No.	ovember 2001 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12,16,18,22 and 24-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15,17,19-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	isional application has been rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)	1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention: Group I, Fig. 1;

Group II, Fig. 2,

Group III, Fig. 3,

Group IV, Fig. 4

Group V, Fig. 5,

Group Vi, Fig. 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 3727

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Thomas E. Fehr on 12/13/02 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 13-15, 17, 19-21, and 23. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-12, 16, 18, 22, 24, and 25-28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Art Unit: 3727

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the connecting wall having a first end connected to the lateral surface" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Fig. 3 shows two connecting walls. A box with a single connecting wall is not shown.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "1400" has been used to designate both the sidewall on the recessed cover plate front portion and the sidewall of the recessed cover plate rear portion. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "27" has been used to designate both the end wall on the recessed cover plate front portion and the end wall of the recessed cover plate rear portion. Moreover, the drawings do not show a plan view of the box so that one can see the interior of the box with the interior surfaces of the channels. The corner lines in the right rear corner of the box do not line up as they should.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3727

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-15, 17, 19-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding claims 13 and 19, the claims set forth a connecting wall. However, the drawings show to separate connecting walls one on each side of the rear wall. The claims state that the connecting wall has a first end that is attached to the lateral surface and projects generally outward from the lateral surface. The second connecting wall is not specified. The claim does not set forth to what part of the lateral surface is attached. The claim sets forth that a front portion is attached and projecting generally forward from the sides and the second end of the connecting wall. It is not clear how the front portion only requires three sides connected to the connecting wall. It is not clear how the front portion is attached

Art Unit: 3727

to the connecting wall on three surfaces. The remaining claims are included since they stem from rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-15, 17, 19-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 13 and 19, the claims set forth a connecting wall. However, the drawings show to separate connecting walls one on each side of the rear wall. The claims state that the connecting wall has a first end that is attached to the lateral surface and projects generally outward from the lateral surface. The second connecting wall is not specified. The claim does not set forth to what part of the lateral surface is attached. The claim sets forth that a front portion is attached and projecting generally forward from the sides and the second end of the connecting wall. It is not clear how the front portion only requires three sides connected to the connecting wall. It is not clear how the front portion is attached to the connecting wall on only three surfaces. It is not clear what is being claimed. The remaining claims are included since they stem from rejected claims.

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

A determination as to the allowability of the claims cannot be made at this time due to the issues under 35 U.S.C. 112 and 37 C.F.R 1.83(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Turan, O'Brien, Herth et al, Richter, Gilleran, Hawn et al, Ottten, You, Clark, and Penczak et al are all cited for teaching electric boxes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

JCM December 16, 2002

LEE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 7